



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,440	12/30/2003	Harold S. Friedman		2377

7590 06/02/2006

Wyatt, Gerber & O'Rourke LLP  
99 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10016

EXAMINER
----------

PICO, ERIC E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3654

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/748,440	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FRIEDMAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Eric Pico	<b>Art Unit</b> 3654	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)            |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Drawings***

1. The drawings are objected to because the inadequate reproduction quality of Figures 1-8a hinder significant features of the claimed invention. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3654

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 7 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

4. **Regarding claim 7**, it is indefinite and unclear if both the base and transom are offset outwardly from the vertical plane of said shell panels toward the elevator interior. The office will examine the claim as transom is offset outwardly from the vertical plane of said shell panels toward the elevator interior as supported by the specification.

5. **Regarding claim 11**, it is indefinite and unclear how claim 11 further limits the independent claim 7.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazar U.S. Patent No. 4700809 in view of Akira JP Publication No. 06-144748 and Broun U.S. Patent No. 3631942.

8. **Regarding claim 1**, Lazar discloses an elevator cab, referred to as elevator car 26, construction including shell panels, referred to as flat rectangular sheet-metal panel 50, 60, 70, forming the interior walls of the cab 26 with a ceiling, referred to as top panel

Art Unit: 3654

80, and platform, referred to as base 28, and stiffeners, referred to as vertical corrugations 69, 79, on the interior of the shell panels 60, 70 to provide suitable support.

9. Lazar is silent concerning vertical corner trim stiffeners in the corners of the cab supporting the shell panel and decorative panels mounted on the shell panels on the interior of the cab and mounted between the stiffeners.

10. Akira teaches an elevator cab construction including shell panels, referred to as side plates 1 forming the interior walls of the cab with a ceiling 12 and platform 8, and vertical corner trim stiffeners, referred to as pillars 3 and joints 4, in the corners of the cab supporting the shell panel 1.

11. Brounn teaches an elevator cab construction including stiffeners, referred to as intermediate columns 38, 39, 60, 65, to provide suitable support and decorative panels, referred to as wall panels 28, 29, 30, 45, 46, 52, 53, mounted on the interior of the cab and mounted between the stiffeners 38, 39, 60, 65.

12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include stiffeners as taught by Akira on the interior of the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to facilitate support.

13. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to mount decorative panels as taught by Brounn on the shell panels on the interior of the cab and between the stiffeners disclosed by Lazar to provide a decorative finish to the interior of the elevator cab.

14. Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazar U.S. Patent No. 4700809 in view of Akira JP Publication No. 06-144748 and

Art Unit: 3654

Brounn U.S. Patent No. 3631942 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sherwood et al. U.S. Patent No. 4635756.

15. **Regarding claim 2**, Lazar is silent concerning the shell panels have openings to the elevator shaft to provide ventilation through stiffeners.

16. Sherwood et al. teaches shell panels, referred to as panel member 50, having openings, referred to as ventilating openings 68, 70, to the elevator shaft to provide ventilation.

17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have openings as taught by Sherwood et al. on the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to facilitate ventilation within the elevator cab.

18. Claim(s) 3-5 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazar U.S. Patent No. 4700809 in view of Akira JP Publication No. 06-144748. Brounn U.S. Patent No. 3631942, and Sherwood et al. U.S. Patent No. 4635756 as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Norihisa et al. JP Publication No. 06-001569.

19. **Regarding claim 3**, Lazar is silent concerning the stiffeners are vertical and separate strips of stiff material attached vertically to the shell panels.

20. Norihisa et al. teaches stiffeners 25 are vertical and separate strips of stiff material attached vertically to shell panels, referred to as inner walls 21.

21. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to vertically attach stiffeners that are vertical and separate strips of stiff material as taught by Norihisa et al. to the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to facilitate stiffening of the shell panels.

Art Unit: 3654

22. **Regarding claim 4**, Lazar is silent concerning decorative panels are approximately the same thickness as the vertical stiffeners and extend inwardly from the shell panels.

23. Brounn teaches decorative panels 28, 29, 30, 45, 46, 52, 53 are approximately the same thickness as the vertical stiffeners 38, 39, 60, 65, shown in Figure 2, and extend inwardly.

24. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the decorative panels as taught by Brounn approximately the same thickness as the vertical stiffeners disclosed by Lazar to facilitate the internal width and depth of the elevator cab.

25. **Regarding claim 5**, Lazar discloses vertical stiffeners 69, 79 are channel-shaped.

26. Claim(s) 6-11 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazar U.S. Patent No. 4700809 in view of Akira JP Publication No. 06-144748. Brounn U.S. Patent No. 3631942, Sherwood et al. U.S. Patent No. 4635756, and Norihisa et al. JP Publication No. 06-001569 as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Friedman et al. U.S. Patent No. 6145630.

27. **Regarding claim 6**, Lazar is silent concerning the shell panels are attached to the platform by a base section and to the ceiling by a transom riser section offset from the plane of the shell panels.

Art Unit: 3654

28. Brounn teaches a base section, referred to as bottom beams 35, 59, 62, attached to the platform, referred to as floor portion 11, and a transom riser section, referred to as top beams 34, 56, 61, attached to a ceiling 14.

29. Friedman et al. teaches a transom riser section 20 offset from the plane of a shell panels, referred to as stationary side panel 32, attached to a ceiling.

30. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to a platform by a base section and to a ceiling by a transom riser section as taught by Brounn to facilitate a rigid elevator cab and the connection between the shell panels and the platform and ceiling.

31. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to a ceiling by a transom riser section offset from the plane of a shell panel as taught by Friedman et al. to facilitate a rigid elevator cab and the connection between the shell panels and the ceiling.

32. **Regarding claim 7**, Lazar discloses an elevator cab 26 construction including a platform 26 and a ceiling 80, shell panels 50, 60, 70 forming the interior walls of the elevator cab 26 attached to the ceiling 80 and platform 26, vertical interior stiffeners 69, 79 formed on the shell panels 50, 60, 70 from the panel material to provide stiffening, decorative panels mounted on the shell panels on the interior of the cab between the vertical stiffeners

33. Lazar is silent concerning shell panels attached to the ceiling and platform by a base and transom, which are channel-shaped and offset outwardly from the vertical



Art Unit: 3654

plane of the shell panels toward the elevator interior, vertical hat-shaped interior stiffeners, vertical corner trim stiffeners in the corners of the cab to support the shell panels, and decorative panels mounted on the shell panels on the interior of the cab between the vertical stiffeners.

34. Brounn teaches an elevator cab construction including a platform 11 and a ceiling 14, a base 35, 59, 62 and transom 34, 56, 61 attached to the ceiling 14 and platform 11 which are channel-shaped, vertical interior stiffeners 38, 39, 60, 65 to provide stiffening, and decorative panels 28, 29, 30, 45, 46, 52, 53 mounted on the interior of the cab between the vertical stiffeners 38, 39, 60, 65.

35. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to a platform by a base section and to a ceiling by a transom riser section as taught by Brounn to facilitate a rigid elevator cab and the connection between the shell panels and the platform and ceiling.

36. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to mount decorative panels as taught by Brounn on the shell panels on the interior of the cab and between the stiffeners disclosed by Lazar to provide a decorative finish to the interior of the elevator cab.

37. Friedman et al. teaches a transom riser section 20 offset outwardly from the vertical plane of a shell panel, referred to as stationary side panel 32, attached to a ceiling.

38. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to a ceiling by a transom riser

Art Unit: 3654

section offset from the plane of a shell panel as taught by Friedman et al. to facilitate a rigid elevator cab and the connection between the shell panels and the ceiling.

39. Norihisa et al. teaches an elevator cab including a platform and a ceiling, shell panels 21 forming the interior walls of the elevator cab attached to the ceiling and platform, and vertical hat-shaped interior stiffeners 25 formed on the shell panels 21 to provide stiffening.

40. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form vertical hat-shaped interior stiffeners as taught by Norihisa et al. to the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to facilitate stiffening of the shell panels.

41. Akira teaches an elevator cab construction including a platform 8 and a ceiling 12, shell panels 1 forming the interior walls of the elevator cab attached to the ceiling 12 and platform 8, and vertical corner trim stiffeners 3, 4 in the corners of the cab to support the shell panels 1

42. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include stiffeners as taught by Akira on the interior of the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to facilitate support.

43. **Regarding claim 8**, Lazar is silent concerning decorative panels are approximately the same thickness as the vertical stiffeners.

44. Brounn teaches decorative panels 28, 29, 30, 45, 46, 52, 53 are approximately the same thickness as vertical stiffeners 38, 39, 60, 65, shown in Figure 2.

45. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the decorative panels as taught by Brounn approximately the same

Art Unit: 3654

thickness as the vertical stiffeners disclosed by Lazar to facilitate the internal width and depth of the elevator cab.

46. **Regarding claim 9**, Lazar is silent concerning the vertical stiffeners are hat-shaped.

47. Norihisa et al. teaches vertical stiffeners 25 that are hat-shape

48. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach vertical stiffeners are hat-shaped as taught by Norihisa et al. to the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to facilitate stiffening of the shell panels.

49. **Regarding claim 10**, Lazar is silent concerning the shell panels have openings to the elevator shaft to provide ventilation through hat-shaped vertical stiffeners.

50. Sherwood et al. teaches shell panels, referred to as panel member 50, having openings, referred to as ventilating openings 68, 70, to the elevator shaft to provide ventilation.

51. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have openings as taught by Sherwood et al. on the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to facilitate ventilation within the elevator cab.

52. **Regarding claim 11**, Lazar is silent concerning the shell panels are attached to the platform by a base section and to the ceiling by a transom riser section.

53. Brounn teaches a base section, referred to as bottom beams 35, 59, 62, attached to the platform, referred to as floor portion 11, and a transom riser section, referred to as top beams 34, 56, 61, attached to a ceiling 14.

Art Unit: 3654

54. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to attach the shell panels disclosed by Lazar to a platform by a base section and to a ceiling by a transom riser section as taught by Brounn to facilitate a rigid

### ***Conclusion***

55. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Castle et al. U.S. Patent No. 4967532, Sanchez et al. U.S. Patent No. 6564908, Friedman et al. U.S. Patent No. 6631589, Watabe et al. U.S. Patent No. 6988592, Yukihiro JP Publication No. 01-294188, Masami JP Publication No. 06-064872.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Pico whose telephone number is 571-272-5589. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30AM - 3:00PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki can be reached on 571-272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EEP

  
**KATHY MATECKI**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**